

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/976,540	10/12/2001	Genady Grabarnik	YOR920010746US1	1483
7590 01/04/2005			EXAMINER	
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP 90 Forest Avenue			LIN, KELVIN Y	
Locust Valley,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/976,540	GRABARNIK ET AL	<b>-</b> .		
		Examin r	Art Unit	<u> </u>		
	•	Kelvin Lin	2142			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE f this communication			ress		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	21 December 2004.				
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	ndrawn from consideration.  nd/or election requirement.				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on 10/12/01 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected or b)☐ objected or b)☐ objected or beld in abeyaterection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen	• •	□	0(070, 440)			
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE er No(s)/Mail Date 08/12/02.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/976,540 Page 2

Art Unit: 2142

## **Detailed Action**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
    - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Hellerstein et al., (PG Pub. No. 2002/0073195).
- 3. Regarding claim 1, Hellerstein teaches apparatus for providing decision support to an analyst in accordance with an event management system which manages a network with one or more computing devices, the apparatus comprising: at least one processor operative to perform (Hellerstein, [0066], I.1-2):
  - an automated analysis of data representing past events associated
    with the network of computing devices being managed by the event
    management system, the automated analysis comprising
    generation of one or more visualizations of one or more portions of
    the past event data and discovery of one or more patterns in the
    past event data (Hellerstein, [0046], I.5-6, [0049], I.1-4); and

Art Unit: 2142

 automated rule management comprising construction and validation of one or more rules formed in accordance with the automated analysis of the past event data (Hellerstein, [0018], I.3-8, [0023], I.17-23); and

Page 3

- memory, coupled to the at least one processor, which stores at least a portion of results associated with the automated event analysis and rule management operations (Hellerstein, [0066], I.2)
- 4. Regarding claim 2, Hellerstein further discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the past event data is obtained from an event database and the one or more rules are provided to a rule database, the event database and the rule database being associated with an execution system of the event management system.

  (Hellerstein, [0023], I. 10-11, [0044], I.8-12).
- 5. Regarding claim 3, Hellerstein further discloses the apparatus of claim 2, wherein generation of the one or more visualizations of the one or more portions of the past event data further comprises:
  - selecting a subset of the past event data from the event database (Hellerstein, [0059], I. 15-17, [0061], I.3-5);
  - generating a visualization of the subset of past event data using a visualization tool (Hellerstein, [0023], I.13);
  - the analyst reviewing the visualization to determine whether there
    are any groupings of events that are of interest presented therein
    (Hellerstein, [0023], I.7-8);

Art Unit: 2142

and

 performing an appropriate action when an event grouping of interest is found (Hellerstein, [0023], I.9-10, [0026], I.7-8).

Page 4

- 6. Regarding claim 4, Hellerstein further discloses the apparatus of claim 2, wherein discovery of the one or more patterns in the past event data further comprises:
  - selecting a subset of the past event data from the event database (Hellerstein, [0052], I.1-3);
  - mining the subset of the past event data to discover the one or
     more patterns using a mining tool (Hellerstein, [0053], I.4);
  - generating a visualization of the one or more patterns using a visualization tool (Hellerstein, [0023], I.13);
  - the analyst reviewing the visualization to determine whether there are any patterns of interest presented therein (Hellerstein, [0052], I.6-7); and
  - performing an appropriate action when a pattern of interest is found (Hellerstein, [0052], I. 9-11).
- 7. Regarding claim 5, Hellerstein further discloses the apparatus of claim 2, wherein validation of the one or more rules farther comprises (Hellerstein, [0028], I.2):
  - selecting a subset of the past event data from the event database (Hellerstein, [0052], I.1-3);
  - finding one or more instances of patterns expressed in terms of left-hand sides of rules (Hellerstein, [0027], I.2-3);

Art Unit: 2142

 generating a visualization of the one or more pattern instances using a visualization tool (Hellerstein, [0023], I.13);

Page 5

- analyzing the left-hand sides of rules using a rule validation tool (Hellerstein, [0026], I.5-8);
- displaying results of the analysis operation (Hellerstein, [0046], I.5-6, [0052], I.7-8);
- the analyst assessing analysis results (Hellerstein, [0028], I.1-2);
   and
- marking the rules as one of validated and not validated based on the assessment by the analyst (Hellerstein, [0023], I.16-18,[0028], I.6-11).
- 8. Regarding claim 6, Hellerstein further discloses the apparatus of claim 2, wherein construction of the one or more rules further comprises:
  - selecting a subset of the past event data from the event database (Hellerstein, [0052], I.1-3);
  - mining the subset of the past event data to discover the one or more patterns using a mining tool (Hellerstein, [0025], I.2);
  - assessing significance of the one or more patterns using a visualization tool (Hellerstein, [0023], 1.13);
  - constructing the one or more rules from a selected subset of the one or more patterns using a rule construction tool (Hellerstein, [0026], I.3-9); and

Art Unit: 2142

Page 6

- writing the one or more rules in the rule database (Hellerstein, [0026], I.8-11).
- 9. Regarding claims 7-12 have similar limitations as claims 1-6, the difference is one is claimed for apparatus, and the other is claimed for method.
  Therefore, claims 7-12 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the

rejection of claims 1-6.

- 10. Regarding claims 13-14 have similar limitations as claims 1-2, the difference is one is claimed for apparatus, and the other is claimed for manufacture.
  Therefore, claims 13-14 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-2.
- 11. Regarding claims 15-16 have similar limitations as claims 1-2, the difference is one is claimed for apparatus, and the other is claimed for means function.
  Therefore, claims 15-16 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-2.
- 12. Regarding claims 17-18 have similar limitations as claims 1-2, the difference is one is claimed for apparatus, and the other is claimed for system.

Therefore, claims 17-18 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-2.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to application's disclosure.

- Bowman-Amuah M., (Patent No. 6707812) System, Method And Article
   Of Manufacture For Element Management In A Hybrid Communication
   System.
- Vijayan G., (Patent No. 6832341) Fault Event Management Using Fault Monitoring Points.
- Riosa et al., (Patent No. 2002/0120734) Hierarchical Connected Graph
   Model For Implementation Of Event Management Design.
- IEEE Konana et al., Implementation and Performance Evaluation of A
  Real-time e-broker System, Real-Time System Symposium, 2000,
  Proceedings, The 21<sup>st</sup> IEEE, 27-30 Nov., 2000, pp. 109-118

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelvin Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-3898. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible 4/9/5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Harvey can be reached on 571-272-3896. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,540 Page 8

Art Unit: 2142

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

12/21/04 KYL